

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary****Application No.**

10/663,771

**Applicant(s)**

KAMINSKI ET AL

**Examiner**

WANDA Z. RUSSELL

**Art Unit**

2416

**All Participants:**(1) WANDA Z. RUSSELL(2) PETER PARK**Date of Interview:** 2 April 2009**Status of Application:** Pre-appeal brief request for review

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.****Rejection(s) discussed:**

103

**Claims discussed:**

1, 6, 10, 14, 17, and 18

**Prior art documents discussed:**

2003/0076803

**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner believed prior art would read on the independent claims for the load balancing and that applicant agreed to amend the claims in order to expedite prosecution to allowance.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)